

Q1 Overall, how did this CLE meet your expectations? Was it...



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
A lot better than expected	20.00%	3
Better than expected	40.00%	6
About what I expected	40.00%	6
Worse than expected	0.00%	0
A lot worse than expected	0.00%	0
TOTAL		15

#	BELOW, PLEASE ENTER ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING SPECIFIC SESSIONS AT THE CLE:	DATE
1	the elections segment was particularly interesting	9/20/2017 8:22 PM
2	I hate to say "about what I expected" because that doesn't sound good, but the fact is, I expect this program to be great every year, and it never disappoints.	9/20/2017 6:22 PM

Q2 Was this CLE relevant to your practice area?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	93.33%	14
No	6.67%	1
TOTAL		15

Q3 Why wasn't this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 1 Skipped: 14

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	currently not practicing	9/25/2017 11:21 AM


Q4 How useful was the content presented?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely useful	53.33%	8
Very useful	33.33%	5
Somewhat useful	13.33%	2
Not so useful	0.00%	0
Not at all useful	0.00%	0
TOTAL		15

#	IN WHAT WAY?	DATE
1	great overview of the practice area	9/25/2017 11:22 AM
2	Judge Graves' presentation was especially helpful, as well as the appellate judges' panel, in telling us what appellate judges are looking for in briefs and oral argument.	9/20/2017 6:23 PM
3	Good guidance on appellate brief writing.	9/20/2017 1:25 PM

Q5 Did the content of this session meet the stated learning objectives?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	100.00%	14
No	0.00%	0
TOTAL		14

Q6 In what way did this CLE fail to meet your learning goals?

Answered: 1 Skipped: 14

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	appellate writing/oral advocacy tips from federal judge was very helpful.	9/20/2017 1:41 PM

Q7 Please rate the effectiveness of each faculty member:




	EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE	VERY EFFECTIVE	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	NOT SO EFFECTIVE	NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Judge Miles Hanisee	38.46% 5	30.77% 4	30.77% 4	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	13	4.08

Evaluation: 28th Annual Appellate Practice Institute

Senator Jacob	38.46%	38.46%	7.69%	7.69%	7.69%	0.00%		
Candelaria	5	5	1	1	1	0	13	3.92
Leo Romero	38.46%	46.15%	15.38%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	5	6	2	0	0	0	13	4.23
Emil J. Kiehne	7.69%	38.46%	46.15%	0.00%	0.00%	7.69%		
	1	5	6	0	0	1	13	3.58
Judge Henry M.	30.77%	38.46%	30.77%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
Bohnhoff	4	5	4	0	0	0	13	4.00
Judge Julie J. Vargas	41.67%	25.00%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	5	3	4	0	0	0	12	4.08
Judge James E.	75.00%	16.67%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
Graves, Jr.	9	2	1	0	0	0	12	4.67
Edward Ricco	46.15%	38.46%	15.38%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
(presented during 1	6	5	2	0	0	0	13	4.31
p.m. and 3 p.m. sessions)								
C. David Henderson	38.46%	30.77%	30.77%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	5	4	4	0	0	0	13	4.08
Joey Moya	53.85%	23.08%	23.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	7	3	3	0	0	0	13	4.31
Mark Reynolds	23.08%	53.85%	23.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	3	7	3	0	0	0	13	4.00
Michael Browde	16.67%	25.00%	50.00%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%		
	2	3	6	1	0	0	12	3.50
Elizabeth Wagoner	33.33%	41.67%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	4	5	3	0	0	0	12	4.08
Greg Williams	25.00%	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	3	6	3	0	0	0	12	4.00
Robert Rambo	36.36%	36.36%	27.27%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	4	4	3	0	0	0	11	4.09

#	COMMENTS:	DATE
1	David Henderson took up too much time leaving just a few minutes for Ed Ricco.	9/20/2017 2:26 PM

Q8 How would you like to receive information about future CLE programs?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert)	46.15%	6
CLE Website	30.77%	4
Bar Bulletin CLE ad	23.08%	3
State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter)	38.46%	5
Bar Bulletin Calendar	30.77%	4
E-mail from State Bar	53.85%	7
Facebook	0.00%	0
Twitter	0.00%	0
Total Respondents: 13		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q9 In what ways can the Center for Legal Education improve your learning experience?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 13


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The price is out of hand. You can see it's hurting attendance. What do they charge you for renting that auditorium anyway?	9/21/2017 9:26 AM
2	More hand on style or case study type classes.	9/20/2017 2:26 PM

Q10 What other topics and presenters would you like to see at future CLE programs?

Answered: 1 Skipped: 14


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	It would be good to see the civil procedure seminar come back. Good, long, general purpose live CLE are welcome.	9/21/2017 9:26 AM

Q11 I prefer the following types of learning methods (please see descriptions below):



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Lecture: university-style talk to a large audience, using visual aids	76.92%	10	
Large Group Discussion: open forum which allows audience to pose questions to presenter(s)	23.08%	3	
Small Group Discussion: breakout sessions where attendees form small groups moderated by faculty	15.38%	2	
Case Study: a lecture which centers around a specific case or cases relevant to the topic	46.15%	6	
Role Play: interactive, audience participation-based scenarios such as a mock trial		1	
Total Respondents: 13			

Q12 The Center for Legal Education is an accredited course provider through the New Mexico State Bar Foundation. How likely are you to attend a future Center for Legal Education course?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely likely	76.92%	10
Very likely	23.08%	3
Somewhat likely	0.00%	0
Not so likely	0.00%	0
Not at all likely	0.00%	0
TOTAL		13